



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/621,060	07/21/2000	Dennis K. Branstad	NAIIP078/99.042.02	4286
28875	7590	12/09/2004	EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/621,060	BRANSTAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew Heneghan	2134

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(3) _____.

(2) Kevin Zilka.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 December 2004

Time: 4:00 PM EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

GREGORY MORSE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was made to Agent Zilka with respect to the status of the pending application. A Final Rejection was mailed by the Office on 4 June 2004, and an after-final amendment was filed on 18 June 2004. Though the Examiner agreed that the amendment appears to place the application in condition of allowance, no Notice of Allowability has yet been mailed to the Applicant. The Examiner has not been able to determine the reason for this delay. .